Assignment 5: Binary, Multinomial, Tobit, Selection (b13)

A. Colin Cameron U.C.-Davis Data are at http://cameron.econ.ucdavis.edu/bgpe2013

1. Logit and probit. Use data in file mus14data.dta

We will do analysis similar to that in the slides, but with a new regressor linc.

(a) Generate a new variable linc = ln(hhincome)

You will see that for nine observations a missing value is created. Explain why.

(b) Give command scatter ins linc

What does this graph suggest is the relationship between insurance and household income?

(c) Give command scatter ins linc, jitter(5) msize(tiny) || lfit ins linc Is this more helpful in explaining the relationship between the two variables?

(d) From your answer in part (c), can you see problems with OLS estimation?

(e) Perform logit regression of ins on linc Is there a statistically significant relationship?

(f) Use command predict to compute variable plogit, the logit model prediction of the probability of someone holding insurance. Then give commands

```
sort linc
scatter ins linc, jitter(5) msize(tiny) || line plogit linc, clstyle(p1)
```

Comment on the resulting graph.

(g) Manually compute the marginal effect on ins of a change in linc computed at the sample mean value of linc. (Use command display).

Compare your answer with that obtained from command margins, dydx(*).

(h) Manually compute the average marginal effect on ins of a change in linc computed at the ample mean value of linc. (Use command generate and then command summarize). Compare your answer with that obtained from command margins, dydx(*) atmean.

(i) Given your answer in the previous part, if **hhincome** increases by 10 percent what is the sample average increase in the probability of having insurance?

(j) Now perform probit regression of ins on linc.

Compare the logit and probit estimates on the basis of (1) estimated coefficient; (2) statistical significance; (3) likelihood; (4) average predicted probability of having insurance; (5) average marginal effect. Is there much difference between the two?

(k) Now perform logit regression of ins on linc retire age hstatusg educyear married hisp. Does linc remain an important explanator of having private health insurance?

2. Logit model. Consider the logit model with $y_i = 1$ with probability $\Lambda(\mathbf{x}'_i\beta)$ and $y_i = 0$ with probability $1 - \Lambda(\mathbf{x}'_i\beta)$, where $\Lambda(z) = e^z/(1+e^z)$ and $\Lambda'(z) = \Lambda(z)(1-\Lambda(z))$. Data are independent over *i*.

(a) Show that $\ln L = \sum_{i=1}^{N} y_i \ln \Lambda(\mathbf{x}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta}) + (1 - y_i) \ln(1 - \Lambda(\mathbf{x}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta})).$

(b) Show after some algebra the first-order conditions for the MLE $\hat{\beta}$ are

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} (y_i - \Lambda(\mathbf{x}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta})) \mathbf{x}_i = \mathbf{0}.$$

(c) Hence state the essential condition for $\hat{\beta}$ to be consistent.

(d) Give the asymptotic distribution for $\hat{\beta}$ using the result that the variance matrix of the MLE is minus the inverse of the expected value of the second derivatives of the log-likelihood.

3. Probit simulation

(a) Generate the following data

- Sample size is 400
- Seed is set to 10101
- Regressor x is uniform on (0,1) use function runiform
- Latent variable $y^* = -2.5 + 4x + \varepsilon$ where ε is standard normal use rnormal(0,1)

- Observed variable y = 1 if $y^* > 0$ and y = 0 if $y^* \le 0$.

(b) Check that the generate data is as expected, using command summarize.

(c) Show that for this d.g.p. $\Pr[y = 1 | \mathbf{x}] = \Phi(-2.5 + 4x)$.

(d) Perform probit regression of y on x.

Are the estimates what you expect? Explain.

4. Multinomial logit. Use data in file mus15data.dta

We will do analysis similar to that in the slides, but with one less alternative. Specifically, drop all individuals who fish from the pier, leading to three alternatives. To find the alternatives use tabulate mode and tabulate mode, nolabel

(a) Estimate a multinomial logit model with regressors an intercept and income, with charter boat fishing the base category.

(b) What is the effect on charter fishing of an increase in income? Give both the AME and the MEM. This uses option predict(outcome()) and you need to choose the correct outcome.

(c) Consider the discrete random variable y_i that takes value 1 with probability $p_{1i} = F_1(\mathbf{x}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta})$; value 2 with probability $p_{1i} = F_1(\mathbf{x}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta})$; and value 3 with probability $p_{1i} = F_1(\mathbf{x}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta})$.

Define three binary variables $y_{1i} = 1$ if $y_i = 1$ and 0 otherwise; $y_{3i} = 1$ if $y_i = 2$ and 0 otherwise; and $y_{3i} = 1$ if $y_i = 3$ and 0 otherwise. Verify that

$$f(y_i) = p_{1i}^{y_{1i}} p_{2i}^{y_{2i}} p_{3i}^{y_{3i}}.$$

(d) Hence show that

$$\ln L(\beta) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} y_{1i} \ln F_1(\mathbf{x}'_i \beta) + y_{2i} \ln F_2(\mathbf{x}'_i \beta) + y_{3i} \ln F_3(\mathbf{x}'_i \beta) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{3} y_{ji} \ln F_j(\mathbf{x}'_i \beta) + y_{3i} \ln F_3(\mathbf{x}'_i \beta) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{3} y_{ji} \ln F_j(\mathbf{x}'_i \beta) + y_{3i} \ln F_3(\mathbf{x}'_i \beta) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{3} y_{ji} \ln F_j(\mathbf{x}'_i \beta) + y_{3i} \ln F_3(\mathbf{x}'_i \beta) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{3} y_{ji} \ln F_j(\mathbf{x}'_i \beta) + y_{3i} \ln F_3(\mathbf{x}'_i \beta) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{3} y_{ji} \ln F_j(\mathbf{x}'_i \beta) + y_{3i} \ln F_3(\mathbf{x}'_i \beta) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{3} y_{ji} \ln F_j(\mathbf{x}'_i \beta) + y_{3i} \ln F_3(\mathbf{x}'_i \beta) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{3} y_{ji} \ln F_j(\mathbf{x}'_i \beta) + y_{3i} \ln F_3(\mathbf{x}'_i \beta) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{3} y_{ji} \ln F_j(\mathbf{x}'_i \beta) + y_{3i} \ln F_3(\mathbf{x}'_i \beta) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{3} y_{ji} \ln F_j(\mathbf{x}'_i \beta) + y_{3i} \ln F_3(\mathbf{x}'_i \beta) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{3} y_{ji} \ln F_j(\mathbf{x}'_i \beta) + y_{3i} \ln F_3(\mathbf{x}'_i \beta) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} y_{ji} \ln F_j(\mathbf{x}'_i \beta) + y_{3i} \ln F_3(\mathbf{x}'_i \beta) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} y_{ji} \ln F_j(\mathbf{x}'_i \beta) + y_{3i} \ln F_j(\mathbf{x}'_i \beta) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} y_{ji} \ln F_j(\mathbf{x}'_i \beta) + y_{3i} \ln F_j(\mathbf{x}'_i \beta) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{$$

(e) Show that the first-order conditions for the MLE $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ are $\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{3} y_{ji} \frac{F'_{j}(\mathbf{x}'_{i}\boldsymbol{\beta})}{F_{j}(\mathbf{x}'_{i}\boldsymbol{\beta})} \mathbf{x}_{i} = \mathbf{0}$.

5. Tobit Use data in file mus16data.dta

We will do analysis similar to that in the slides, but with fewer regressors.

(a) Estimate a Tobit model of ambexp regressed on totchr.

(b) Compare your results to those from OLS. Are you surprised? Explain.

(c) Estimate a sample selection model of lambexp (this is $\ln y$ for y > 0 and missing otherwise) regressed on totchr, where totchr appears in both the selection and the participation equations. Use the MLE option? Does there appear to be selection on unobservables? Is the error correlation what you expect?

- (d) Repeat (c) using Heckman's two-step estimator.
- (e) Suppose $y_i^* \sim \mathcal{N}[\mathbf{x}_i'\boldsymbol{\beta}, \sigma^2]$ and we observe $y_i = y_i^*$ only if $y_i^* > \mathbf{z}_i'\boldsymbol{\gamma}$. Obtain $\mathbb{E}[y_i|\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{z}_i]$.