

1.(a) Here

$$\begin{aligned} \ln f(y) &= \ln \lambda - y\lambda \text{ and } \lambda = \exp(\mathbf{x}'\boldsymbol{\beta}) \text{ and } \ln \lambda = \mathbf{x}'\boldsymbol{\beta} \\ \Rightarrow Q_N(\boldsymbol{\beta}) &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_i \ln f(y_i) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_i \{\mathbf{x}'_i\boldsymbol{\beta} - y_i \exp(\mathbf{x}'_i\boldsymbol{\beta})\}. \end{aligned}$$

(b) Now

$$\begin{aligned} Q_0(\boldsymbol{\beta}) &= \text{plim } Q_N(\boldsymbol{\beta}) \\ &= \text{plim } \frac{1}{N} \sum_i \mathbf{x}'_i\boldsymbol{\beta} - \text{plim } \frac{1}{N} \sum_i y_i \exp(\mathbf{x}'_i\boldsymbol{\beta}) \\ &= \lim \frac{1}{N} \sum_i \mathbf{x}'_i\boldsymbol{\beta} - \lim \frac{1}{N} \sum_i E[y_i \exp(\mathbf{x}'_i\boldsymbol{\beta})] \quad \text{if LLN can be applied} \\ &= \lim \frac{1}{N} \sum_i \mathbf{x}'_i\boldsymbol{\beta} - \lim \frac{1}{N} \sum_i \exp(-\mathbf{x}'_i\boldsymbol{\beta}_0) \times \exp(\mathbf{x}'_i\boldsymbol{\beta}) \text{ if } E[y_i|\mathbf{x}_i] = \exp(-\mathbf{x}'_i\boldsymbol{\beta}_0) \end{aligned}$$

(c) Differentiate w.r.t. $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ (not $\boldsymbol{\beta}_0$)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial Q_0(\boldsymbol{\beta})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\beta}} &= \lim \frac{1}{N} \sum_i \mathbf{x}_i - \lim \frac{1}{N} \sum_i \exp(-\mathbf{x}'_i\boldsymbol{\beta}_0) \exp(\mathbf{x}'_i\boldsymbol{\beta}) \mathbf{x}_i \\ &= \mathbf{0} \text{ when } \boldsymbol{\beta} = \boldsymbol{\beta}_0. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\text{plim } Q_N(\boldsymbol{\beta})$ attains a local maximum at $\boldsymbol{\beta} = \boldsymbol{\beta}_0$, conclude that $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = \arg \max Q_N(\boldsymbol{\beta})$ is consistent for $\boldsymbol{\beta}_0$.

[Also formally but not required $\partial^2 Q_0(\boldsymbol{\beta})/\partial \boldsymbol{\beta} \partial \boldsymbol{\beta}' = \lim N^{-1} \sum_i (-\exp(-\mathbf{x}'_i\boldsymbol{\beta}_0) \times \exp(\mathbf{x}'_i\boldsymbol{\beta}) \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}'_i) = \lim N^{-1} \sum_i -\mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}'_i$ at $\boldsymbol{\beta}_0$ is negative definite.]

(d) Consider the second term: $\text{plim } \frac{1}{N} \sum_i y_i \exp(\mathbf{x}'_i\boldsymbol{\beta})$.

This is the average $\bar{X}_N = N^{-1} \sum_i X_i$ where $X_i = y_i \exp(\mathbf{x}'_i\boldsymbol{\beta})$.

Here $X_i = y_i \exp(\mathbf{x}'_i\boldsymbol{\beta})$ is i.n.i.d. So need Markov LLN.

With $\delta = 1$ this requires that $V[y_i|\mathbf{x}_i]$ exists and \mathbf{x}_i is bounded.

[More formally, with $\delta = 1$ we need $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} E[\{(y_i \exp(\mathbf{x}'_i\boldsymbol{\beta}) - E[y_i \exp(\mathbf{x}'_i\boldsymbol{\beta})])\}^2]/i^\delta = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} V[y_i] \exp(2\mathbf{x}'_i\boldsymbol{\beta})/i^\delta < \infty$.]

(e) From (c) and (d) all we need for consistency is $E[y_i|\mathbf{x}_i] = \exp(\mathbf{x}'_i\boldsymbol{\beta}_0)$.

Provided this holds the MLE is consistent even if other aspects of the distribution are misspecified.

If $E[y_i|\mathbf{x}_i] \neq \exp(\mathbf{x}'_i\boldsymbol{\beta}_0)$ then the MLE is inconsistent.

2.(a) Differentiating

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial Q_N}{\partial \boldsymbol{\beta}} &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_i (\mathbf{x}_i - y_i \exp(\mathbf{x}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta}) \mathbf{x}_i) \\
&= \frac{1}{N} \sum_i (\exp(-\mathbf{x}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta}) \exp(\mathbf{x}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta}) \mathbf{x}_i - y_i \exp(\mathbf{x}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta}) \mathbf{x}_i) \\
&= -\frac{1}{N} \sum_i (y_i - \exp(-\mathbf{x}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta})) \exp(\mathbf{x}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta}) \mathbf{x}_i \quad \text{simplifying}
\end{aligned}$$

(b) Can assume the result that $\sqrt{N} \frac{\partial Q_N}{\partial \boldsymbol{\beta}} \Big|_{\boldsymbol{\beta}_0} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}[\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{B}_0]$, where

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{B}_0 &= \text{plim } N \frac{\partial Q_N}{\partial \boldsymbol{\beta}} \frac{\partial Q_N}{\partial \boldsymbol{\beta}'} \Big|_{\boldsymbol{\beta}_0} \\
&= \text{plim } N \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_i (y_i - \exp(-\mathbf{x}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta}_0)) \exp(\mathbf{x}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta}_0) \mathbf{x}_i \right) \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_i (y_i - \exp(-\mathbf{x}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta}_0)) \exp(\mathbf{x}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta}_0) \mathbf{x}_i \right)' \\
&= \lim \frac{1}{N} \sum_i \text{E} [(y_i - \exp(-\mathbf{x}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta}_0))^2 (\exp(\mathbf{x}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta}_0))^2 \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}'_i] \quad \text{using independence and } \text{E}[y_i - \exp(-\mathbf{x}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta}_0)] = 0 \\
&= \lim \frac{1}{N} \sum_i \text{V}[y_i | \mathbf{x}_i] (\exp(\mathbf{x}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta}_0))^2 \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}'_i \\
&= \lim \frac{1}{N} \sum_i \frac{\exp(\mathbf{x}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta}_0)^2}{\exp(\mathbf{x}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta}_0)^2} \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}'_i \quad \text{as } \text{V}[y_i] = 1 / (\exp(\mathbf{x}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta}_0))^2 \\
&= \lim \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}'_i
\end{aligned}$$

(c) We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial^2 Q_N}{\partial \boldsymbol{\beta} \partial \boldsymbol{\beta}'} &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_i -y_i \exp(\mathbf{x}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta}) \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}'_i \quad \text{where derivative w.r.t. first expression in part (a)} \\
\mathbf{A}_0 &= \text{plim } \frac{\partial^2 Q_N}{\partial \boldsymbol{\beta} \partial \boldsymbol{\beta}'} \Big|_{\boldsymbol{\beta}_0} = \lim \frac{1}{N} \sum_i -\exp(\mathbf{x}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta}_0) \exp(\mathbf{x}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta}_0) \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}'_i, \quad \text{since } \text{E}[y_i] = \exp(-\mathbf{x}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta}_0). \\
\mathbf{A}_0 &= -\lim \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}'_i \quad \text{simplifying}
\end{aligned}$$

(d) Combining

$$\begin{aligned}
\sqrt{N}(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \boldsymbol{\beta}_0) &\xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}[\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{A}_0^{-1} \mathbf{B}_0 \mathbf{A}_0^{-1}] \\
&\xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}[\mathbf{0}, -\mathbf{A}_0^{-1}] \quad \text{since from above } \mathbf{A}_0 = -\mathbf{B}_0 \\
&\xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N} \left[\mathbf{0}, \left(\lim \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}'_i \right)^{-1} \right].
\end{aligned}$$

(e) Reject $H_0 : \beta_2 = 0$ against $H_a : \beta_2 \neq 0$ at level α if $|t| > 1.96$

where $t = \widehat{\beta}_j / s_{\widehat{\beta}_j}$ where $s_{\widehat{\beta}_j}^2$ is the j^{th} diagonal entry in $\left(\sum_{i=1}^N \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}'_i \right)^{-1}$.

3.(a) Simplest is Lindeberg-Levy CLT.

Let $\{X_i\}$ be iid with $E[X_i] = \mu$ and $V[X_i] = \sigma^2$. Then $Z_N = \frac{\bar{X}_N - \mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{N}} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}[0, 1]$.
 [Other CLT's can be given].

(b) The limit distribution is $\sqrt{N}(\hat{\beta}_{\text{NLS}} - \beta_0) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}[\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{A}_0^{-1}\mathbf{B}_0\mathbf{A}_0^{-1}]$

where $\mathbf{A}_0 = \text{plim} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{\partial g_i}{\partial \beta} \frac{\partial g_j}{\partial \beta'} \Big|_{\beta_0}$ and $\mathbf{B}_0 = \text{plim} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N u_i^2 \frac{\partial g_i}{\partial \beta} \frac{\partial g_i}{\partial \beta'} \Big|_{\beta_0}$ and $g_i = E[y_i | \mathbf{x}_i]$.

Asymptotically

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\beta}_{\text{NLS}} &\stackrel{a}{\sim} \mathcal{N}[\beta, \hat{\mathbf{A}}^{-1}\hat{\mathbf{B}}\hat{\mathbf{A}}^{-1}] \\ \hat{\mathbf{A}} &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\partial g_i}{\partial \beta} \Big|_{\hat{\beta}} \frac{\partial g_i}{\partial \beta'} \Big|_{\hat{\beta}} \\ \hat{\mathbf{B}} &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \hat{u}_i^2 \frac{\partial g_i}{\partial \beta} \Big|_{\hat{\beta}} \frac{\partial g_i}{\partial \beta'} \Big|_{\hat{\beta}} \end{aligned}$$

(c) Using Newton-Raphson the update rule is

$$(\hat{\theta}_{s+1} - \hat{\theta}_s) = - \left[\frac{\partial \mathbf{g}(\theta)}{\partial \theta'} \Big|_{\hat{\theta}_s} \right]^{-1} \mathbf{g}(\hat{\theta}_s).$$

4.(a) Since Poisson sets $E[y|\mathbf{x}] = \exp(\mathbf{x}'\beta)$, β_j is a semi-elasticity.

A \$1,000 increase in income (which is a one unit change) is associated with a $100 \times 0.003557 = 0.3557$ percent increase in the expected number of doctor visits.

(b) Since Poisson sets $E[y|\mathbf{x}] = \exp(\mathbf{x}'\beta)$, the marginal effect is $\partial E[y|\mathbf{x}]/\partial x_j = \exp(\mathbf{x}'\beta) \times \beta_j$.

The estimated marginal effect is therefore

$$\exp(\mathbf{x}'\hat{\beta}) \times .003557$$

$$= \exp(1 \times 0.80 + 0 \times 1.09 + 1 \times 0.49 \times 1 + 10 \times .0036 - 0.23) \times .003557$$

[The above is enough for full credit]

$$= \exp(1.097) \times .003557 = 2.995 \times .003557 = 0.01065.$$

The expected number of doctor visits increases by 0.01065.

The course grade will be based on a curve from the combined scores of midterm (35%), final (50%) and assignments (15%).

The curve for this exam is only a guide to give you a rough idea of how you are doing.

Scores out of	35	A	30 and above
75th percentile	31 (89%)	A-	26 and above
Median	29 (83%)	B+	22 and above
25th percentile	26 (74%)	B	18 and above