## 4-7 THIS QUESTION HAD SEVERAL ERRORS (notable (d)-(f)). USE THE FOLLOWING REVISED QUESTION INSTEAD.

(Adapted from Nelson and Startz, 1990). Consider the three equation model,  $y = \beta x + u$ ;  $x = \lambda u + \varepsilon$ ;  $z = \gamma \varepsilon + v$ , where the mutually independent errors u,  $\varepsilon$  and v are iid normal with mean 0 and variances, respectively,  $\sigma_u^2$ ,  $\sigma_{\varepsilon}^2$  and  $\sigma_v^2$ .

(a) Show that  $\operatorname{plim}(\widehat{\beta}_{OLS} - \beta) = \lambda \sigma_u^2 / (\lambda^2 \sigma_u^2 + \sigma_\varepsilon^2).$ 

(b) Show that  $\rho_{XZ}^2 = [\gamma \sigma_{\varepsilon}^2]^2 / [(\lambda^2 \sigma_u^2 + \sigma_{\varepsilon}^2)(\gamma^2 \sigma_{\varepsilon}^2 + \sigma_v^2)].$ 

(c) Show that  $\widehat{\beta}_{IV} - \beta = m_{zu} / (\lambda m_{zu} + m_{z\varepsilon}) \xrightarrow{p} 0$ , where, for example,  $m_{zu} = N^{-1} \sum_{i} z_i u_i$ .

(d) Show that  $\hat{\beta}_{IV} - \beta$  is not defined if  $m_{zu} = -m_{z\varepsilon}/\lambda$ . Nelson and Startz (1990) argue that this region is visited often enough that the mean of  $\hat{\beta}_{IV}$  does not exist.

(e) Show that  $\hat{\beta}_{IV} - \beta = 1/(\lambda + m_{z\varepsilon}/m_{zu})$  equals  $1/\lambda$  if  $m_{zu}$  is large relative to  $m_{z\varepsilon}/\lambda$ . Nelson and Startz (1990) conclude that if  $m_{zu}$  is large relative to  $m_{z\varepsilon}/\lambda$  then  $\hat{\beta}_{IV} - \beta$  is concentrated around  $1/\lambda$ , rather than the probability limit of zero from part (c).

(f) Nelson and Startz (1990) argue that  $\hat{\beta}_{IV} - \beta$  concentrates on  $1/\lambda$  more rapidly the smaller is  $\gamma$ , the smaller is  $\sigma_{\varepsilon}^2$ , and the larger is  $\lambda$ . Given your answer in part (c), what do you conclude about the small sample distribution of  $\hat{\beta}_{IV}$  when  $\rho_{XZ}^2$  is small?