

Panel Data Methods

3: Long Panels - Basics

© A. Colin Cameron
Univ. of Calif. Davis

*Based on A. Colin Cameron and Pravin K. Trivedi (2009, 2010),
Microeconometrics using Stata (MUS), Stata Press.
and A. Colin Cameron and Pravin K. Trivedi (2005),
Microeconometrics: Methods and Applications (MMA), C.U.P.*

November 2013

1. Introduction

- These slides focus on static regressions with predetermined regressors.
 - ▶ then y_{it} on \mathbf{x}_{it} is consistent even if error u_{it} is serially correlated
 - ▶ whereas e.g. y_{it} on y_{it-1} is inconsistent if error u_{it} is serially correlated and stationary
 - ▶ dynamics, especially unit roots and cointegration are deferred to separate slides
- For **long panels** asymptotics are for $T \rightarrow \infty$
 - ▶ A dynamic model for the errors may be specified, such as AR(1) error
 - ▶ Errors may be correlated over individuals
 - ▶ Individual-specific effects can be modelled as individual dummies
 - ▶ Presentation follows Cameron and Trivedi (2010) chapter 8.10.
- We also introduce heterogeneous panels
 - ▶ coefficients can vary over i .

- **Heterogeneous** panels can be more flexible as $T \rightarrow \infty$
 - ▶ To date focused on just intercept α_i ; varying in short panels
 - ▶ Now can allow slopes to differ across individuals
 - ▶ Additionally may control for correlation over i due to common shocks or spatial correlation.
 - ▶ Again defer complication of unit roots and cointegration to separate slides
 - ▶ See Breitung and Pesaran (2008) for extensive survey.

Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Panel Data Example
- 3 Pooled OLS and FGLS
- 4 FE Models
- 5 Heterogenous Panels
- 6 Summary

2. Panel Data Example: Cigarettes Sales

- U.S. state-year panel $N = 10$ states and $T = 30$ (1963-92)
- Source: Baltagi, Griffin and Xiong (2000).
- Goal: estimate response of per capita cigarette consumption ($\ln c$) to real cigarette prices ($\ln p$).
 - ▶ Price varies across states, due in large part to different levels of taxation, as well as over time.

Data Description

- We will regress `lnc` on `lnp`, `lnpmin` and `lny` (own price, other price, income)

```
. * Description of cigarette dataset
. use mus08cigar.dta, clear
```

```
. describe
```

Contains data from mus08cigar.dta

```
obs:      300
vars:      6
size:      9,600 (99.9% of memory free)
26 Nov 2008 17:14
```

variable name	storage type	display format	value label	variable label
state	float	%9.0g		U.S. state
year	float	%9.0g		Year 1963 to 1992
lnp	float	%9.0g		Log state real price of pack of cigarettes
lnpmin	float	%9.0g		Log of min real price in adjoining states
lnc	float	%9.0g		Log state cigarette sales in packs per capita
lny	float	%9.0g		Log state per capita disposable income

Summary Statistics

```
. * Summary of cigarette dataset
. summarize, separator(6)
```

Variable	Obs	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Max
state	300	5.5	2.87708	1	10
year	300	77.5	8.669903	63	92
lnp	300	4.518424	.1406979	4.176332	4.96916
lnpmin	300	4.4308	.1379243	4.0428	4.831303
lnc	300	4.792591	.2071792	4.212128	5.690022
lny	300	8.731014	.6942426	7.300023	10.0385

```
.
. * Pooled GLS with error correlated across states and state-specific AR(1)
. xtset state year
      panel variable:  state (strongly balanced)
      time variable:  year, 63 to 92
      delta: 1 unit
```

Within versus Between Variation

- Within variation is generally greater.

```
. xtsum lnc lnp lny lnpm
```

Variable		Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Max	Observations	
lnc	overall	4.792591	.2071792	4.212128	5.690022	N =	300
	between		.1328465	4.643053	5.037004	n =	10
	within		.1642764	4.164742	5.445609	T =	30
lnp	overall	4.518424	.1406979	4.176332	4.96916	N =	300
	between		.0572717	4.451445	4.623794	n =	10
	within		.1297459	4.23715	4.972691	T =	30
lny	overall	8.731014	.6942426	7.300023	10.0385	N =	300
	between		.1924964	8.477204	9.019063	n =	10
	within		.6697103	7.553833	9.817204	T =	30
lnpm	overall	4.4308	.1379243	4.0428	4.831303	N =	300
	between		.059411	4.313441	4.521246	n =	10
	within		.1258403	4.149695	4.840707	T =	30

Data Autocorrelations

- Looks like AR(1) will model $\ln c$ and $\ln p$ well.

. pwcorr $\ln c$ L. $\ln c$ L2. $\ln c$ L3. $\ln c$ L4. $\ln c$ L5. $\ln c$ L6. $\ln c$

	$\ln c$	L. $\ln c$	L2. $\ln c$	L3. $\ln c$	L4. $\ln c$	L5. $\ln c$	L6. $\ln c$
$\ln c$	1.0000						
L. $\ln c$	0.9740	1.0000					
L2. $\ln c$	0.9373	0.9721	1.0000				
L3. $\ln c$	0.8888	0.9351	0.9717	1.0000			
L4. $\ln c$	0.8346	0.8882	0.9374	0.9737	1.0000		
L5. $\ln c$	0.7749	0.8367	0.8934	0.9404	0.9738	1.0000	
L6. $\ln c$	0.7126	0.7836	0.8499	0.9008	0.9442	0.9747	1.0000

. pwcorr $\ln p$ L. $\ln p$ L2. $\ln p$ L3. $\ln p$ L4. $\ln p$ L5. $\ln p$ L6. $\ln p$

	$\ln p$	L. $\ln p$	L2. $\ln p$	L3. $\ln p$	L4. $\ln p$	L5. $\ln p$	L6. $\ln p$
$\ln p$	1.0000						
L. $\ln p$	0.8893	1.0000					
L2. $\ln p$	0.7570	0.8810	1.0000				
L3. $\ln p$	0.5886	0.7196	0.8688	1.0000			
L4. $\ln p$	0.4481	0.5443	0.6993	0.8619	1.0000		
L5. $\ln p$	0.3280	0.3972	0.5120	0.6802	0.8537	1.0000	
L6. $\ln p$	0.2080	0.2743	0.3613	0.4852	0.6640	0.8480	1.0000

3. Pooled OLS and FGLS

- FGLS is more efficient and gives correct standard errors if have correct model for the error

$$\hat{\beta} = (\mathbf{X}'\hat{\Omega}^{-1}\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}'\hat{\Omega}^{-1}\mathbf{y}.$$

- Problem: Wide range of models for how u_{it} varies over i and t .
- For short panels $N \rightarrow \infty$ analysis was made easy by assuming
 - ▶ independence over i
 - ▶ finite T so correlation over t becomes a minor nuisance
 - ▶ use `xtgee` or `xtreg, pa` with option `vce(robust)`
- For long panels ($T \rightarrow \infty$) need to be more explicit in modelling correlation over t
 - ▶ also some methods allow for possibility of correlation over i
 - ▶ `xtgls`, `xtpcse`, `xtsc`

Example: AR(1) error

• Model

- ▶ $y_{it} = \mathbf{x}'_{it}\boldsymbol{\beta} + u_{it}$; with N small; $T \rightarrow \infty$
- ▶ regressors \mathbf{x}_{it} can include individual dummies but not time dummies
- ▶ AR(1) error $u_{it} = \rho_i u_{i,t-1} + \varepsilon_{it}$
 - ★ ε_{it} is uncorrelated over t but potentially correlated over i

• Estimator that controls for error AR(1) but not for correlation over i

- ▶ Cochrane-Orcutt transformation (or variation Prais-Winsten)
- ▶ $y_{it} - \rho_i y_{i,t-1} = (\mathbf{x}_{it} - \rho_i \mathbf{x}_{i,t-1})' \boldsymbol{\beta} + \varepsilon_{it}$ (since $u_{it} - \rho_i u_{i,t-1} = \varepsilon_{it}$)
- ▶ OLS of y_{it} on \mathbf{x}_{it} gives consistent (inefficient) $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{OLS}$
- ▶ Then $\hat{\rho}_i$ from OLS of \hat{u}_{it} on $\hat{u}_{i,t-1}$ where $\hat{u}_{it} = y_{it} - \mathbf{x}'_{it} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{OLS}$
- ▶ Finally $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{FGLS}$ from OLS of $y_{it} - \hat{\rho}_i y_{i,t-1}$ on $\mathbf{x}_{it} - \hat{\rho}_i \mathbf{x}_{i,t-1}$

• Robust variance matrix that allows for correlation over i

- ▶ $V[\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{FGLS}] = (\mathbf{X}^* \mathbf{X}^*)^{-1} \times \sum_t \mathbf{X}_t^* \hat{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_t^* \hat{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_t^{*'} \mathbf{X}_t^* \times (\mathbf{X}^* \mathbf{X}^*)^{-1}$
- ▶ where $\mathbf{x}_{it}^* = \mathbf{x}_{it} - \hat{\rho}_i \mathbf{x}_{i,t-1}$; $\hat{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{it}^* = \mathbf{y}_{it}^* - \mathbf{x}_{it}^* \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{FGLS}$

xtgls Command

- xtgls

- ▶ FGLS with very simple model over t
 - ★ u_{it} is independent over t or AR(1) with parameter ρ_i or parameter ρ
- ▶ + FGLS allowing for correlation over i with limited heteroskedasticity
 - ★ $\text{Var}[u_{it}] = \sigma_{ii}$ and $\text{Cov}[u_{it}, u_{jt}] = \sigma_{ij}$ do not vary with t but do over i .
- ▶ standard errors are not robust - assumes correct Ω
- ▶ original (1960's) approach - Kmenta
- ▶ limitations
 - ★ need $T > N$
 - ★ underestimates standard errors with finite N, T even if correct Ω

- FGLS assuming error AR(1) and correlated over i

- ▶ standard errors assume correct Ω

```
. xtgls lnc lnp lny lnpm in year, panels(correlated) corr(psar1)
```

Cross-sectional time-series FGLS regression

Coefficients: generalized least squares
 Panels: heteroskedastic with cross-sectional correlation
 Correlation: panel-specific AR(1)

Estimated covariances	=	55	Number of obs	=	300
Estimated autocorrelations	=	10	Number of groups	=	10
Estimated coefficients	=	5	Time periods	=	30
			Wald chi2(4)	=	342.15
			Prob > chi2	=	0.0000

	lnc	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]
	lnp	-.3260683	.0218214	-14.94	0.000	-.3688375 -.2832991
	lny	.4646236	.0645149	7.20	0.000	.3381768 .5910704
	lnpm in	.0174759	.0274963	0.64	0.525	-.0364159 .0713677
	year	-.0397666	.0052431	-7.58	0.000	-.0500429 -.0294902
	_cons	5.157994	.2753002	18.74	0.000	4.618416 5.697573

xtpcse Command

- `xtpcse` (pcse means “panel corrected standard errors”)
- Estimator can control for error correlation over t **but not** i
 - ▶ does OLS
 - ▶ or does FGLS with AR(1) error with parameter ρ or ρ_i
- But variance matrix does control for error correlation over i
- Example: command `xtpcse y x, corr(psar1)`
- The estimator is not fully efficient as it does not control for across individual error correlation
 - ▶ but its finite sample (finite N, T) performance is better than `xtfgls` method

- FGLS assuming error AR(1) error (common ρ) & independent over i
 - ▶ but standard errors then control for error contemporaneous correlated over i

```
. xtpcse lnc lnp lny lnpm in year, corr(psar1)
(note: estimates of rho outside [-1,1] bounded to be in the range [-1,1])
```

Prais-winsten regression, correlated panels corrected standard errors (PCSEs)

```
Group variable:   state                Number of obs   =       300
Time variable:   year                  Number of groups =       10
Panels:          correlated (balanced)  Obs per group:  min =       30
Autocorrelation: panel-specific AR(1)  avg           =       30
                                                max           =       30
Estimated covariances =       55          R-squared       =     0.9807
Estimated autocorrelations =       10      Wald chi2(4)   =     65.29
Estimated coefficients =       5           Prob > chi2    =     0.0000
```

lnc	Panel-corrected		z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
	Coef.	Std. Err.				
lnp	-.2674266	.0485877	-5.50	0.000	-.3626567	-.1721964
lny	.4820779	.1324463	3.64	0.000	.2224879	.7416679
lnpm	.0796523	.0638603	1.25	0.212	-.0455116	.2048162
year	-.0449654	.010324	-4.36	0.000	-.0652002	-.0247307
_cons	4.879688	.5575454	8.75	0.000	3.786919	5.972456
rhos =	.9474947	.8697296	1	.9991112	.9571484991599

Cluster Robust se's for OLS

- Recall for OLS with independence over i and $N \rightarrow \infty$ and T fixed

$$V[\hat{\beta}_{OLS}] = \left[\sum_i \mathbf{x}_i' \mathbf{x}_i \right]^{-1} \left[\sum_i E[\mathbf{x}_i' \mathbf{u}_i \mathbf{u}_i' \mathbf{x}_i'] \right] \left[\sum_i \mathbf{x}_i' \mathbf{x}_i \right]^{-1}.$$

$$\hat{V}[\hat{\beta}_{OLS}] = \left[\sum_i \mathbf{x}_i' \mathbf{x}_i \right]^{-1} \sum_i \mathbf{x}_i' \hat{\mathbf{u}}_i \hat{\mathbf{u}}_i' \mathbf{x}_i' \left[\sum_i \mathbf{x}_i' \mathbf{x}_i \right]^{-1}.$$

- What if $T \rightarrow \infty$?
- Hansen (2007) considers several cases of N, T with independence over i
 - 1. $N \rightarrow \infty, T$ fixed. Original short panel case. $\hat{V}[\hat{\beta}_{OLS}]$ okay.
 - 2. $N \rightarrow \infty, T \rightarrow \infty$. $\hat{V}[\hat{\beta}_{OLS}]$ okay for either mixing or no mixing in time.
 - 3. N fixed, $T \rightarrow \infty$ problem. Need to ensure that mixing, so correlations over time go to zero. Use HAC.
- Bottom line
 - can use the usual panel-robust if $T \rightarrow \infty$ in addition to $N \rightarrow \infty$.

Spatial and Cluster Robust se's

- For $T \rightarrow \infty$ the HAC extends to spatially correlated (correlated over i)
 - ▶ Driscoll and Kraay (1998) and add-on command `xtscc`
- Begin with pure time series background:
 - ▶ $y_t = \mathbf{x}'_t \boldsymbol{\beta} + u_t$
 - ▶ $\text{Cor}[u_t, u_{t-k}] \neq 0$ for $k \leq m$ and $\text{Cor}[u_t, u_{t-k}] = 0$ for $k > m$
 - ▶ Example is an $\text{MA}(m)$ error.

- Then given errors correlated only up to m periods apart

$$\begin{aligned}
 V[\hat{\beta}_{OLS}] &= (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1} V \left[\sum_t \mathbf{x}_t u_t \right] (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1} \\
 &= (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1} E \left[\sum_t \sum_s \mathbf{x}_t u_t \mathbf{x}'_s u_s \right] (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1} \\
 &= (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1} \left[\sum_t \sum_{s; |t-s| < m} E[\mathbf{x}_t u_t \mathbf{x}'_s u_s] \right] (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1} \\
 &= (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1} \left[\sum_{k=-m}^m \sum_t E[\mathbf{x}_t u_t \mathbf{x}'_{t-k} u_{t-k}] \right] (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1} \\
 &= (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1} \left[\Omega_0 + \sum_{k=1}^m (\Omega_k + \Omega'_k) \right] (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}; \Omega_k = \sum_t E
 \end{aligned}$$

- Newey-West HAC (heteroskedasticity- and autocorrelation-consistent) variance matrix estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
 \hat{V}[\hat{\beta}_{OLS}] &= (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1} \left[\Omega_0 + \sum_{k=1}^m (\hat{\Omega}_k + \hat{\Omega}'_k) \right] (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1} \\
 \hat{\Omega}_k &= \left(1 - \frac{k}{m+1}\right) \sum_{t=k+1}^T \hat{u}_t \hat{u}_{t-k} \mathbf{x}_t \mathbf{x}'_{t-k}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Spatial and Cluster Robust se's

- For panel data the HAC extends to spatially correlated (correlated over i)
 - ▶ Driscoll and Kraay (1998) and add-on command `xtscc`

$$\begin{aligned}
 V[\widehat{\beta}_{OLS}] &= (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1} V \left[\sum_i \mathbf{x}_i' \mathbf{u}_i \right] (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1} \\
 &= (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1} V \left[\sum_i \sum_t \mathbf{x}_{it} u_{it} \right] (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1} \\
 &= (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1} E \left[\sum_t \sum_s \sum_i \sum_j \mathbf{x}_{it} u_{it} \mathbf{x}'_{js} u_{js} \right] (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1} \\
 &= (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1} \left[\sum_t \sum_{s; |t-s| < m} \sum_i \sum_j E[\mathbf{x}_{it} u_{it} \mathbf{x}'_{js} u_{js}] \right] (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1} \\
 &= (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1} \left[\sum_{k=-m}^m \sum_t \sum_i \sum_j E[\mathbf{x}_{it} u_{it} \mathbf{x}'_{j,t-k} u_{j,t-k}] \right] (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}
 \end{aligned}$$

- where assume errors correlated only m periods apart (MA(m)) and $T \rightarrow \infty$.

- So

$$V[\hat{\beta}_{OLS}] = (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1} E \left[\Omega_0 + \sum_{k=1}^m (\Omega_k + \Omega'_k) \right] (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}$$

$$\Omega_k = \sum_t \sum_i \sum_j E[u_{it} u_{j,t-k} \mathbf{x}_{it} \mathbf{x}'_{j,t-k}]$$

- Then panel HAC variance estimate

$$\hat{V}[\hat{\beta}_{OLS}] = (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1} E \left[\hat{\Omega}_0 + \sum_{k=1}^m (\hat{\Omega}_k + \hat{\Omega}'_k) \right] (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}$$

$$\hat{\Omega}_k = \left(1 - \frac{j}{m+1}\right) \sum_t \sum_i \sum_j \hat{u}_{it} \hat{u}_{j,t-k} \mathbf{x}_{it} \mathbf{x}'_{j,t-k}.$$

- Requires $T \rightarrow \infty$
 - ▶ either N fixed or $N \rightarrow \infty$ is okay.
- Extends to FE estimator and to nonlinear m-estimators e.g. logit.

Comparisons

- . * Comparison of various pooled OLS and GLS estimators
- . quietly xtpcse lnc lnp lny lnpmin year, corr(ind) independent nmk
- . estimates store OLS_iid
- . quietly xtpcse lnc lnp lny lnpmin year, corr(ind)
- . estimates store OLS_cor
- . quietly xtscclnc lnp lny lnpmin year, lag(4)
- . estimates store OLS_DK
- . quietly xtpcse lnc lnp lny lnpmin year, corr(ar1)
- . estimates store AR1_cor
- . quietly xtglslnc lnp lny lnpmin year, corr(ar1) panels(iid)
- . estimates store FGLSAR1
- . quietly xtglslnc lnp lny lnpmin year, corr(ar1) panels(correlated)
- . estimates store FGLSCAR

- OLS_iid: OLS with default se's (assuming u_{it} is iid)
- OLS_cor: OLS with spatial but no HAC (\simeq xtsc, lags(0))
- OLS_DK: OLS with spatial and HAC - has the largest se's
- AR1_corr: FGLS AR(1) error no spatial but spatial corrected se's
- FGLSAR1: FGLS AR(1) error no spatial and FGLS default se's
- FGLSCAR: FGLS AR(1) error and spatial and FGLS default se's
 - ▶ efficiency gain assuming correct model for errors.

. estimates table OLS_iid OLS_cor OLS_DK AR1_cor FGLSAR1 FGLSCAR, b(%7.3f) se

variable	OLS_iid	OLS_cor	OLS_DK	AR1_cor	FGLSAR1	FGLSCAR
lnp	-0.583	-0.583	-0.583	-0.266	-0.264	-0.330
	0.129	0.169	0.273	0.049	0.049	0.026
lny	0.365	0.365	0.365	0.398	0.397	0.407
	0.049	0.080	0.163	0.125	0.094	0.080
lnpmin	-0.027	-0.027	-0.027	0.069	0.070	0.036
	0.128	0.166	0.252	0.064	0.059	0.034
year	-0.033	-0.033	-0.033	-0.038	-0.038	-0.037
	0.004	0.006	0.012	0.010	0.007	0.006
_cons	6.930	6.930	6.930	5.115	5.100	5.393
	0.353	0.330	0.515	0.544	0.414	0.361

Legend: b/se

4. Individual Fixed Effects

- Individual Fixed Effects straightforward here
 - ▶ no incidental parameters problem as $T \rightarrow \infty$.
- But rather than start with OLS, **more efficient** to start with AR(1) error model
 - ▶ first transform to control for serial correlation:
 - ★ $(y_{it} - \hat{\rho}y_{i,t-1}) = (1 - \hat{\rho})\alpha_i + (\mathbf{x}_{it} - \hat{\rho}\mathbf{x}_{i,t-1})'\beta$
 - ▶ then mean-difference to eliminate $(1 - \hat{\rho})\alpha_i$
 - ▶ or assume random effect
- Command `xtregar` with options `fe` and `re`.

Interactive Effects

- Bai (2009) considers the model

$$y_{it} = \mathbf{x}'_{it}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \lambda'_i F_t + \varepsilon_{it}$$

- ▶ e.g. $F_t = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \delta_t \end{bmatrix}$ and $\lambda_i = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \delta_i \end{bmatrix}$ so $\lambda'_i F_t = \alpha_i + \delta_t$
- ▶ λ_i and F_t are possibly correlated with \mathbf{x}_{it}
- ▶ ε_{it} may be serially and cross-sectionally dependent
- ▶ $N \rightarrow \infty$ and $T \rightarrow \infty$ with $T/N \rightarrow 0$ or $N/T \rightarrow 0$ or $T/N \rightarrow \rho > 0$
- Stack $\mathbf{Y}_i = \mathbf{X}_i\boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbf{F}\lambda_i + \varepsilon_i$ and $\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbf{F}\boldsymbol{\Lambda} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$
 - ▶ normalization (necessary) $\mathbf{F}'\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{I}$ and $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}'\boldsymbol{\Lambda}$ diagonal
 - ▶ $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}, \hat{\mathbf{F}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}$ minimize $\sum_i (\mathbf{Y}_i - \mathbf{X}_i\boldsymbol{\beta} - \mathbf{F}\lambda_i)'(\mathbf{Y}_i - \mathbf{X}_i\boldsymbol{\beta} - \mathbf{F}\lambda_i)$

5. Heterogeneous Panels: Introduction

- With $T \rightarrow \infty$ can allow coefficients to vary over cross-sections

$$y_{it} = \alpha_i + \mathbf{x}_{it}' \boldsymbol{\beta}_i + u_{it}.$$

- Also concerned about common shocks (f_t) over time
 - ▶ can't just put in time dummies (since $T \rightarrow \infty$)
 - ▶ time trends not enough.
- Here introduce estimators for static models
 - ▶ they were actually proposed for dynamic models
 - ▶ will return to them later consider dynamic models.
- Estimators considered
 - ▶ mean grouped estimator - Pesaran and Smith (1995)
 - ▶ common correlated effects estimator (for common shocks) - Pesaran (2006)
 - ▶ augmented mean group estimator (for common shocks) - Eberhardt and Teal (2009).
 - ▶ all can be estimated with Stata addon `xtmg`

- With N fixed (and “small”) and $T \rightarrow \infty$ can run N separate regressions

$$y_{it} = \alpha_i + \mathbf{x}_{it}' \boldsymbol{\beta}_i + u_{it}.$$

- ▶ assume no fixed effects problem due to either lack of correlation or $T \rightarrow \infty$.
- ▶ and can test for poolability: $\boldsymbol{\beta}_i = \boldsymbol{\beta}$ for all i .
- Can estimate using the `statsby` prefix command.

```
. * Run separate regressions for each state
. statsby _b, by(state) clear: regress lnc lnp lny lnpmn year
(running regress on estimation sample)
```

```
command: regress lnc lnp lny lnpmn year
by: state
```

```
Statsby groups
```

```
_____ 1 _____ 2 _____ 3 _____ 4 _____ 5
.....
```

- Some variation but mostly sales rise as price falls and income rises.

```
. * Report regression coefficients for each state
. format _b* %9.2f

. list, clean
```

	state	_b_lnp	_b_lny	_b_lnp~n	_b_year	_b_cons
1.	1	-0.36	1.10	0.24	-0.08	2.10
2.	2	0.12	0.60	-0.45	-0.05	5.14
3.	3	-0.20	0.76	0.12	-0.05	2.72
4.	4	-0.52	-0.14	-0.21	-0.00	9.56
5.	5	-0.55	0.71	0.30	-0.07	4.76
6.	6	-0.11	0.21	-0.14	-0.02	6.20
7.	7	-0.43	-0.07	0.18	-0.03	9.14
8.	8	-0.26	0.89	0.08	-0.07	3.67
9.	9	-0.03	0.55	-0.36	-0.04	4.69
10.	10	-1.41	1.12	1.14	-0.08	2.70

Mean-Group Estimator

- Let $y_{it} = \alpha_i + \mathbf{x}_{it}'\boldsymbol{\beta}_i + u_{it} = \mathbf{w}_{it}'\boldsymbol{\delta}_i + u_{it}$
- The mean group estimator is $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\delta}} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \widehat{\boldsymbol{\delta}}_i$
 - ▶ viewed as an estimator of $\boldsymbol{\delta} = E[\boldsymbol{\delta}_i]$.
 - ▶ Pesaran and Smith (1995)
 - ▶ say use $\widehat{V}[\widehat{\boldsymbol{\delta}}] = \frac{1}{N(N-1)} \sum_{i=1}^N (\widehat{\boldsymbol{\delta}}_i - \boldsymbol{\delta})(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\delta}}_i - \boldsymbol{\delta})'$.
- Default for user-written add-on `xtmg` does this.

```
. * Mean-group estimator  
. xtmg lnc lnp lny lnpm in year
```

Pesaran & Smith (1995) Mean Group estimator

All coefficients represent averages across groups (group variable: state)
Coefficient averages computed as unweighted means

Mean Group type estimation
Group variable: state

Number of obs = 300
Number of groups = 10

Obs per group: min = 30
 avg = 30.0
 max = 30

wald chi2(4) = 272.08
Prob > chi2 = 0.0000

Inc	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
lnp	-.3752339	.1336287	-2.81	0.005	-.6371414	-.1133264
lny	.5741365	.1409129	4.07	0.000	.2979524	.8503206
lnpmin	.0902451	.1419863	0.64	0.525	-.188043	.3685332
year	-.0509173	.0083476	-6.10	0.000	-.0672784	-.0345562
_cons	5.069284	.8177948	6.20	0.000	3.466436	6.672133

Root Mean Squared Error (sigma): 0.0436

- Can get estimates for each state (option full)
 - ▶ same as earlier statsby

Group-specific coefficients						
	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z [95% Conf. Interval]		
Group 1						
lnp	-.3572344	.2149646	-1.66	0.097	-.7785572	.0640884
lny	1.102418	.3575748	3.08	0.002	.4015847	1.803252
lnpmin	.2403018	.192765	1.25	0.213	-.1375107	.6181142
year	-.0806138	.0286329	-2.82	0.005	-.1367333	-.0244942
_cons	2.098109	1.463726	1.43	0.152	-.7707406	4.966959
Group 2						
lnp	.1158018	.1958822	0.59	0.554	-.2681204	.4997239
lny	.60493	.2204498	2.74	0.006	.1728563	1.037004
lnpmin	-.4469771	.1725522	-2.59	0.010	-.7851732	-.108781
year	-.054202	.0175606	-3.09	0.002	-.0886201	-.0197838
_cons	5.13989	1.069976	4.80	0.000	3.042775	7.237005

Random Coefficients Estimator

- A weighted average of the estimators that predated mean-group estimator.
 - ▶ stack so $\mathbf{y}_i = \mathbf{W}_i\delta_i + \mathbf{u}_i$
 - ▶ let $\delta_i = \delta + \mathbf{v}_i$ where $E[\mathbf{v}_i | \mathbf{W}_i] = 0$
 - ▶ then $\mathbf{y}_i = \mathbf{W}_i\delta + (\mathbf{W}_i\mathbf{v}_i + \mathbf{u}_i)$
 - ▶ can do OLS of \mathbf{y}_i on \mathbf{W}_i
 - ▶ Swamy (1970) proposed FGLS using a consistent estimate of the variances and covariances (over i) of the error $(\mathbf{W}_i\mathbf{v}_i + \mathbf{u}_i)$.
 - ▶ do this assume homoskedastic errors in each state but allowing correlation across states, so $\text{Cov}[u_{it}, u_{jt}] = \sigma_{ij}$.
- Compared to MG, RC in theory will do better when there are outlying values of δ_i as it shrinks them towards zero.


```
. * Random coefficients estimator
. xtrc lnc lnp lny lnpm in year
```

```
Random-coefficients regression
Group variable: state
```

```
Number of obs      =      300
Number of groups   =       10

Obs per group: min =       30
                avg =      30.0
                max =       30

wald chi2(4)       =     170.13
Prob > chi2        =       0.0000
```

	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
lnc						
lnp	-.3727744	.1427454	-2.61	0.009	-.6525503	-.0929985
lny	.5351386	.1593635	3.36	0.001	.2227919	.8474852
lnpm	.0696587	.151666	0.46	0.646	-.2276013	.3669187
year	-.047699	.0101616	-4.69	0.000	-.0676153	-.0277827
_cons	5.233397	.8821246	5.93	0.000	3.504465	6.96233

```
Test of parameter constancy:      chi2(45) = 3680.53      Prob > chi2 = 0.0000
```

Common Shocks

- The MG and RC estimator assume independence over individuals (here states)
 - ▶ putting in a time trend will help sop up some correlation but may not be enough.
- Correlation over i may be due to spatial considerations
 - ▶ neighboring states are similar
 - ▶ need to deal with by specifying a spatial model for errors
 - ▶ for $y_{it} = \mathbf{w}'_{it}\delta_i + u_{it}$ stack u_{it} into \mathbf{u}_t and $\mathbf{u}_t = \mathbf{S}\varepsilon_t$, \mathbf{S} is specified and ε_t is cross-sectionally independent
 - ▶ not covered here.
- Or it may be due to common shocks
 - ▶ a common way to deal with this is a factor model.

Common Factor Model

- Before $y_{it} = \mathbf{w}'_{it}\delta_i + u_{it}$
- Now suppose $y_{it} = \mathbf{w}'_{it}\delta_i + \mathbf{f}'_t\gamma_i + \varepsilon_{it}$
 - ▶ where $\varepsilon_{it} \sim [0, \sigma_i^2]$ are independent over i and \mathbf{f}_t are “factors”
 - ▶ simplest case one factor $y_{it} = \mathbf{w}'_{it}\delta_i + f_t\gamma_i + \varepsilon_{it}$.
- The factors \mathbf{f}_t are unobservable
 - ▶ they are data determined to fit well the correlations over i of $\mathbf{f}'_t\gamma_i + \varepsilon_{it}$
 - ★ formally principal components are often used in economics
 - ▶ \mathbf{f}_t possibly correlated with \mathbf{w}_{it}
 - ▶ so ignoring them could lead to inconsistent $\hat{\delta}_i$
 - ▶ they are common to each individual
 - ▶ but are given different weights for different individuals via γ_i .

Aside: Principal Components

- Principal components reduces dimensionality.
- Consider the $N \times k$ matrix \mathbf{X} and form $\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}$
 - ▶ let λ_j be the ordered eigenvalues $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_k$
 - ▶ let \mathbf{v}_j be the corresponding eigenvector
- The first principal component of \mathbf{X} is $\frac{1}{\lambda_1}\mathbf{X}\mathbf{v}_1$
 - ▶ it explains $\lambda_1 / \sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j^2$ of the total variation of $(\sum_{j=1}^k \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbf{X}_{ji}) = \text{tr}(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})$
 - ▶ the second principal component is $\frac{1}{\lambda_2}\mathbf{X}\mathbf{v}_2$
- Results simplify if we first demean and use the correlation or covariance matrix
- And can interpret principal components as factor analysis with homoskedastic residuals: $\mathbf{X}_{ij} = \mathbf{f}'_i \gamma_j + \varepsilon_{ij}$.

Common Correlated Effects Estimator

- Pesaran (2006) shows that for large N , T can simply
 - ▶ add the time-averages of y_{it} and \mathbf{x}_{it} as regressors in each of the N regressions
- So do OLS in $y_{it} = \mathbf{w}'_{it}\delta_i + \pi_{0i}\bar{y}_t + \bar{\mathbf{w}}'_t\boldsymbol{\pi}_i + u_{it}$
 - ▶ note that the coefficients of the time-averages vary with i
- Called the common correlated effects estimator.
- This is option cce of xtmg

```
. xtmg lnc lnp lny lnppm in year, cce
```

Pesaran (2006) Common Correlated Effects Mean Group estimator

All coefficients represent averages across groups (group variable: state)
Coefficient averages computed as unweighted means

- All coefficients below are averages over i

Mean Group type estimation
Group variable: state

Number of obs = 300
Number of groups = 10
Obs per group: min = 30
 avg = 30.0
 max = 30
wald chi2(4) = 30.76
Prob > chi2 = 0.0000

$\ln c$	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
$\ln p$	-.4669704	.1063	-4.39	0.000	-.6753145	-.2586263
$\ln y$.7238857	.2364108	3.06	0.002	.2605291	1.187242
$\ln p_{min}$.1101311	.0909168	1.21	0.226	-.0680625	.2883248
year	-.0047461	.0087429	-0.54	0.587	-.0218819	.0123897
$\ln c_{avg}$	1.077181	.1482423	7.27	0.000	.7866314	1.367731
$\ln p_{avg}$.2925321	.2757283	1.06	0.289	-.2478854	.8329496
$\ln y_{avg}$	-.6450694	.2439767	-2.64	0.008	-1.123255	-.1668839
$\ln p_{min_avg}$.1229866	.1975405	0.62	0.534	-.2641856	.5101588
year_avg	(omitted)					
_cons	-.9610782	.7264015	-1.32	0.186	-2.384799	.4626426

Root Mean Squared Error (sigma): 0.0264

Cross-section averaged regressors are marked by the suffix avg.

Augmented Mean Group Estimator

- Bond and Eberhardt (2009) and Eberhardt and Teal (2010) propose an augmented mean group estimator
 - ▶ estimate the common factors and include them as explicit regressors
 - ▶ whereas CCE just controlled for their presence
 - ▶ option augment of xtmg

```
. xtmg lnc lnp lny lnpmin year, augment
```

Augmented Mean Group estimator (Bond & Eberhardt, 2009; Eberhardt & Teal, 2010)

Common dynamic process included as additional regressor

All coefficients represent averages across groups (group variable: state)

Coefficient averages computed as unweighted means

Mean Group type estimation
Group variable: state

Number of obs = 300
Number of groups = 10
Obs per group: min = 30
 avg = 30.0
 max = 30
wald chi2(4) = 300.99
Prob > chi2 = 0.0000

$\ln c$	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
$\ln p$	-.5328879	.0828091	-6.44	0.000	-.6951906	-.3705851
$\ln y$.7429772	.0768996	9.66	0.000	.5922568	.8936976
$\ln p_{min}$.0709764	.0926518	0.77	0.444	-.1106178	.2525706
year	-.0593132	.0070495	-8.41	0.000	-.0731301	-.0454964
c_d_p	1.138518	.1819006	6.26	0.000	.7819994	1.495037
_cons	4.99731	.4492237	11.12	0.000	4.116848	5.877772

Root Mean Squared Error (sigma): 0.0293

Variable c_d_p refers to the common dynamic process.

Comparison of Estimators

```
. * Compare estimators
. quietly xtscd lnc lnp lny lnpmi year, lag(4)
. estimates store OLS_DK
. quietly xtmg lnc lnp lny lnpmi year
. estimates store MG
. quietly xtrc lnc lnp lny lnpmi year
. estimates store RC
. quietly xtmg lnc lnp lny lnpmi year, cce
. estimates store CCE
. estimates table OLS_DK MG RC CCE, b(%7.3f) se(%7.2f)
```

- Biggest variation in coefficient of $\ln y$
 - ▶ note that Driscoll-Kraay standard errors are larger

variable	OLS_DK	MG	RC	CCE
$\ln p$	-0.583 0.27	-0.375 0.13	-0.373 0.14	-0.467 0.11
$\ln y$	0.365 0.16	0.574 0.14	0.535 0.16	0.724 0.24
$\ln p_{\min}$	-0.027 0.25	0.090 0.14	0.070 0.15	0.110 0.09
year	-0.033 0.01	-0.051 0.01	-0.048 0.01	-0.005 0.01
$\ln c_{\text{avg}}$				1.077 0.15
$\ln p_{\text{avg}}$				0.293 0.28
$\ln y_{\text{avg}}$				-0.645 0.24
$\ln p_{\min_{\text{avg}}}$				0.123 0.20
year_avg				0.000 0.00
_cons	6.930 0.51	5.069 0.82	5.233 0.88	-0.961 0.73

Legend: b/se

6. Summary

- Basics for $T \rightarrow \infty$ and stationary

xtgls	Pooled OLS and FGLS
xtpcse	Pooled OLS and FGLS
xtsc	Spatial and cluster robust (Stata addon)
xtregar	FE and RE with AR(1) error

- With heterogeneous (and stationary) panels

xtnm	Mean-group and CCE (Stata addon)
xtrc	Random Coefficients

7. References

- Bai, J. (2009), "Panel data models with interactive fixed effects," *Econometrica*, 1229–1279.
- Eberhardt, M. (2012), "Estimating Panel Time Series Models with Heterogeneous Slopes," *Stata Journal*, 12, 61-71.
- Eberhardt, M., C. Helmers, and H. Strauss (2013), "Do Spillovers Matter When Estimating Private Returns to R&D?," *Review of Economics and Statistics*, 95, 436-448..
- Pesaran, M.H. (2006), "Estimation and inference in large heterogeneous panels with a multifactor error structure," *Econometrica*, 74, 967–1012.